

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re PATENT APPLICATION of
Inventors: HANADA et al.
Appln. No. 09/820,757
Filing Date: March 30, 2001
Title: THERMOPLASTIC RESIN
SHEET AND CONTAINER

Group Art Unit: 1713
Examiner: SIMONE
SPE: PYON
Atty. Dkt: 7372/70910

Confirmation No. 3864
Customer no. 22242

**REQUEST TO SPE PYON AND PTO TO CORRECT THE RECORDS
AND TO ENTER THE JULY 17, 2003 AMENDMENT**

Commissioner for Patents
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
2011 South Clark Place
Customer Window, Mail Stop - non-fee Response
Crystal Plaza two, Lobby, Room 1B03
Arlington, VA 22202

Sir:

Applicants counsel received an Advisory Action dated October 23, 2003 in which the Examiner and her SPE refuse to enter an Amendment filed July 17, 2003.

The Amendment was filed on July 17, 2003 as evidenced by the post card receipt submitted on October 6, 2003.

That more than two months - - two and half months to be precise - - before the PTO issued a Final Rejection on September 2, 2003.

The Amendment was enterable as a matter of right.

The PTO's errors in handling papers for this application carry over to the PAIR system. The PAIR system docketing information for this application is inaccurate. The PAIR system does not show the July 17, 2003 Amendment. The PAIR system does not show the September 24, 2003 Request to Correct PTO records and for entry of the July 17, 2003 Amendment.

Must Applicants file a notice of appeal - an expense caused by the PTO's errors - and include a request to the Board to remand this case to enter the July 17, 2003 Amendment?

EXHIBIT
D

Or, must Applicants file papers with the Office of Petitions? The Applicants' assignee has had to do this before for other cases in this Group Art Unit.

Or, must Applicants seek intervention on Capital Hill?


Or, is there some way that the PTO review its practices and timely match papers with files?

Voice mail messages were left with SPE Pyon and Examiner Simone this 30th day of October.

This two (2) page **REQUEST TO SPE PYON AND PTO TO CORRECT THE RECORDS AND TO ENTER THE JULY 17, 2003 AMENDMENT** was filed by facsimile to 703-746-7349 this 30th day of October 2003.

Respectfully submitted,

FITCH EVEN TABIN & FLANNERY



Kendrew H. Colton

Reg. No. 30,368

Tel: 202-419-7015

Fax: 202-419-7007

Fitch, Even, Tabin & Flannery
1801 K Street, N.W. - Suite 401L
Washington, D.C. 20006

10/30/2003 13:21 FAX 202 419 7007

FITCH EVEN

001

*** TX REPORT ***

TRANSMISSION OK

| | | |
|----------------|-------------|------------|
| TX/RX NO | 2235 | |
| CONNECTION TEL | | 7037467349 |
| SUBADDRESS | | |
| CONNECTION ID | | |
| ST. TIME | 10/30 13:20 | |
| USAGE T | 00'48 | |
| PGS. SENT | 2 | |
| RESULT | OK | |

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re PATENT APPLICATION of
Inventors: HANADA et al.
Appln. No. 09/820,757
Filing Date: March 30, 2001
Title: THERMOPLASTIC RESIN
SHEET AND CONTAINER

Group Art Unit: 1713
Examiner: SIMONE
SPE: PYON
Atty. Dkt: 7372/70910

Confirmation No. 3864
Customer no. 22242

**REQUEST TO SPE PYON AND PTO TO CORRECT THE RECORDS
AND TO ENTER THE JULY 17, 2003 AMENDMENT**

Commissioner for Patents
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
2011 South Clark Place
Customer Window, Mail Stop - non-fee Response
Crystal Plaza two, Lobby, Room 1B03
Arlington, VA 22202

Sir:

Applicants counsel received an Advisory Action dated October 23, 2003 in which the Examiner and her SPE refuse to enter an Amendment filed July 17, 2003.

The Amendment was filed on July 17, 2003 as evidenced by the post card receipt submitted on October 6, 2003.

That more than two months - - two and half months to be precise - - before the PTO